# External Trade of Macao in the Fourth Quarter of $2010{ }^{\text {a }}$ 

## Overview

Macao's external merchandise trade continued to grow in the fourth quarter of 2010, with total value of merchandise imports and exports rising by $15.4 \%$ to MOP 14.03 billion. Value of merchandise imports rose by $20.4 \%$ to MOP 12.36 billion, while that of merchandise exports decreased by $11.9 \%$ to MOP 1.67 billion. The exports-imports ratio continued to drop by 5.0 percentage points year-on-year to $13.5 \%$. Trade deficit widened from MOP 8.36 billion in the fourth quarter of 2009 to MOP 10.68 billion.

For the whole year of 2010, total value of merchandise imports and exports grew by $14.6 \%$ to MOP 51.08 billion, of which value of merchandise imports rose by $19.6 \%$ to MOP 44.12 billion, while that of merchandise exports dropped by $9.3 \%$ to MOP 6.96 billion, with the trade deficit widening further to MOP 37.16 billion.

## Total Exports

In the fourth quarter of 2010, value of merchandise exports to the US (MOP 155.9 million), the EU (MOP 103.1 million), Mainland China (MOP 260.3 million) and Hong Kong (MOP 741.5 million) decreased by $22.3 \%, 10.5 \%, 4.6 \%$ and $13.7 \%$ respectively; exports to Taiwan, China plunged by $42.4 \%$ to MOP 28.8 million and that to Japan dropped by $9.4 \%$ to MOP 29.7 million; on the other hand, value of merchandise exports to Vietnam went up by $11.4 \%$ to MOP 54.4 million. Garment and textile products still predominated as the major merchandise of exports, yet the value shrank by $6.8 \%$ to MOP 384.0 million, bringing its relative importance to total exports down to $22.9 \%$. Besides, exports of jewellery, sharing $7.6 \%$ of total exports, dropped by $35.0 \%$, while exports of machines, apparatus \& parts and other products went down by $37.1 \%$ and $5.6 \%$, accounting for $6.8 \%$ and $62.6 \%$ respectively of total exports.
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## Domestic Exports

Domestic exports regained positive growth in the fourth quarter of 2010 after a long period of decline. Value of domestic exports increased by $9.1 \%$ year-on-year to MOP 627.9 million, sharing $37.5 \%$ of the total exports; however, value of domestic exports registered a slight decrease of $0.1 \%$ quarter-to-quarter. Hong Kong overtook the US to become the largest market of Macao's domestic exports, with the value of exports rising notably by $79.4 \%$ year-on-year. Value of domestic exports to the US decreased further by $24.1 \%$, and that to the EU went down by $5.4 \%$. Value of exports of garment, accounting for $42.6 \%$ of the total domestic exports, declined by $13.8 \%$, of which value of exports to the US and the EU dropped by $30.6 \%$ and $7.1 \%$ respectively to share $46.9 \%$ and $33.2 \%$ of the total. Value of domestic exports of other products rose by $35.8 \%$ to take up $57.4 \%$ of the total domestic exports.

Value of domestic exports to Mainland China increased by $37.6 \%$ to MOP 66.5 million, accounting for $10.6 \%$ of the total; in particular, value of tariff-free merchandise exports under the Mainland and Macao Closer Economic Partnership Agreement (CEPA) surged by 54.8\% to MOP 19.76 million, consisting mainly of copper-clad board ( $44.8 \%$ of total), garment ( $18.0 \%$ ), stamps ( $31.1 \%$ ) and cement ( $1.8 \%$ ); the amount of tariff saved totalled MOP 1.53 million.

For the whole year of 2010, total value of domestic exports dropped by $19.6 \%$ to MOP 2.39 billion to take up $34.3 \%$ of the total value of exports, with that to the US and the EU falling by $43.6 \%$ and $34.6 \%$.

In addition, results of the Industrial Exports Survey for the fourth quarter of 2010 indicated that the average length of time of orders on hand of the interviewed manufacturers stood at 3.19 months, up by $47.0 \%$ from 2.17 months in the same quarter of 2009. Meanwhile, $38.2 \%$ of the enterprises expressed optimism about the domestic industrial exports in the coming six months, down by 4.3 percentage points quarter-to-quarter but up by 1.5 percentage points year-on-year, with $5.2 \%$ anticipating a substantial increase and $33.0 \%$ expecting a modest increase. However, $26.4 \%$ expected less promising prospects of exports, up by 5.1 percentage points quarter-to-quarter and 5.5 percentage points year-on-year; moreover, $35.0 \%$ expected the outlook of exports remained stagnant, similar to that of the previous quarter but down by 7.4 percentage points year-on-year. The findings showed that the manufacturers were still cautiously optimistic about the outlook of exports.

## Re-exports

Value of re-exports declined by $21.1 \%$ year-on-year to MOP 1.05 billion in the fourth quarter of 2010, accounting for $62.5 \%$ of total exports. Hong Kong was the largest market of Macao's re-exports, constituting $52.7 \%$ of the total, which was followed by Mainland China, at $18.5 \%$. Value of re-exports to Hong Kong dropped notably by $26.8 \%$ and that to Mainland China went down by $13.7 \%$.

In terms of the types of merchandise, value of re-exports of consumer goods fell substantially by $29.1 \%$ to take up $40.5 \%$ of the total, while that of raw materials \& semi-manufactures, accounting for $18.6 \%$ of the total, increased by $3.3 \%$.

In 2010, re-exports fell by $2.8 \%$ to MOP 4.57 billion, accounting for a predominant share $65.7 \%$ of the total value of exports.

## Imports

Value of merchandise imports increased by $20.4 \%$ to MOP 12.36 billion in the fourth quarter of 2010 on account of rising imports of consumer goods. Mainland China continued to be the main supplier of goods imported to Macao and the value of imports rose by $22.4 \%$ to MOP 3.82 billion ( $30.9 \%$ of total). Value of imports from the EU ( $24.2 \%$ of total), Hong Kong ( $10.6 \%$ ), Japan ( $7.9 \%$ ) and the US ( $6.1 \%$ ) expanded by $31.3 \%, 13.0 \%, 3.3 \%$ and $40.1 \%$ respectively, while that from Taiwan, China (2.0\%) dropped by $4.2 \%$.

Regarding the types of merchandise, value of imports of consumer goods ( $60.2 \%$ of total) rose by $25.9 \%$, capital goods ( $17.2 \%$ ) by $32.3 \%$ and fuels \& lubricants ( $10.5 \%$ ) by $7.1 \%$;
meanwhile, imports of raw materials \& semi-manufactures (12.1\%) went down by $2.5 \%$.

In 2010, value of merchandise imports increased by $19.6 \%$ to MOP 44.12 billion.


## 1. PRINCIPAL STATISTICS ON EXTERNAL MERCHANDISE TRADE

|  |  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q1 to Q4 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \text { Q4 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q4 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Imports and exports | MOP million | 63,544.4 | 59,059.6 | 44,574.5 | 51,078.4 | 12,161.4 | $11652.7^{\text {r }}$ | $12325.3{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $13069.3{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 14,031.0 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | 11.5 | -7.1 | -24.5 | 14.6 | -9.2 | $13.3{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $16.8{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $12.9{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 15.4 |
| B. Total exports | MOP million | 20,430.6 | 16,025.4 | 7,672.5 | 6,960.0 | 1,900.6 | $1822.2^{\text {r }}$ | $1810.3^{\text {r }}$ | $1653.6{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,673.9 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | -0.2 | -21.6 | -52.1 | -9.3 | -39.5 | -9.6 | -3.8 | $-11.7^{\text {r }}$ | -11.9 |
| 1. Domestic exports | MOP million | 13,515.7 | 9,582.3 | 2,971.1 | 2,389.8 | 575.7 | $562.4{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $570.7^{\text {r }}$ | 628.8 | 627.9 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | -6.0 | -29.1 | -69.0 | -19.6 | -66.3 | -39.8 | -24.2 | -11.4 | 9.1 |
| 2. Re-exports | MOP million | 6,914.9 | 6,443.1 | 4,701.4 | 4,570.2 | 1,324.9 | $1259.8{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,239.6 | $1024.8{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,046.0 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | 13.6 | -6.8 | -27.0 | -2.8 | -7.5 | 16.3 | 9.7 | $-11.9{ }^{\text {r }}$ | -21.1 |
| C. Total imports | MOP million | 43,113.9 | 43,034.2 | 36,902.0 | 44,118.4 | 10,260.7 | $9830.5^{\text {r }}$ | $10515.0^{\text {r }}$ | $11415.7{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 12,357.1 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | 18.0 | -0.2 | -14.2 | 19.6 | $0^{\#}$ | $18.8{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $21.3{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $17.7{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 20.4 |
| D. Trade balance ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MOP million | -22,683.3 | -27,008.8 | -29,229.4 | -37,158.4 | -8,360.1 | $-8008.4^{\text {r }}$ | $-8704.7^{\text {r }}$ | -9762.1 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | -10,683.2 |
| E. Exports/Imports ratio ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | 47.4 | 37.2 | 20.8 | 15.8 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 17.2 | $14.5{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 13.5 |
| F. External merchandise trade index (2006=100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Exports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value index | index | 99.8 | 78.3 | 37.5 | 34.0 | 37.2 | $35.6{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $35.4{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $32.3{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 32.7 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | -0.2 | -21.5 | -52.1 | -9.3 | -39.4 | $-9.6{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $-3.8{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $-11.7^{\text {r }}$ | -12.1 |
| Unit value index | index | 99.3 | 104.4 | 104.1 | 107.5 | 107.0 | 107.4 | 105.4 | 106.4 | 111.1 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | -0.7 | 5.1 | -0.3 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 3.8 |
| Quantum index | index | 100.5 | 75.0 | 36.0 | 31.6 | 34.7 | 33.2 | $33.6{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 30.4 | 29.5 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | 0.5 | -25.4 | -52.0 | -12.2 | -41.8 | -12.9 | $-7.2^{\text {r }}$ | -13.1 | -15.0 |
| 2. Imports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value index | index | 118.0 | 117.8 | 101.0 | 120.8 | 112.4 | $107.7^{\text {r }}$ | $115.1{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $125.0^{\text {r }}$ | 135.3 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | 18.0 | -0.2 | -14.3 | 19.6 | 0.1 | $18.9{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $21.3{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $17.6{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 20.4 |
| Unit value index | index | 103.3 | 111.7 | 111.1 | 115.5 | 112.2 | 114.2 | 115.0 | 115.5 | 116.9 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | 3.3 | 8.1 | -0.5 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| Quantum index | index | 114.2 | 105.5 | 90.9 | 104.6 | 100.2 | $94.2{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $100.1{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $108.2{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 115.7 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | 14.2 | -7.6 | -13.8 | 15.1 | -2.6 | $15.3{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $16.5{ }^{\text {r }}$ | $12.7{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 15.5 |
| 3. Terms of trade index ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | index | 96.2 | 93.5 | 93.7 | 93.1 | 95.4 | 94.0 | 91.6 | 92.1 | 95.0 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | -3.8 | -2.8 | 0.2 | -0.6 | 1.2 | 0.8 | -0.5 | -2.4 | -0.4 |

a Trade balance $=$ Total exports - Total imports.
b Exports $/$ Imports ratio $=$ Total exports $/$ Total imports $\times 100$.
c Terms of trade index $=$ Unit value index of exports $/$ Unit value index of imports $\times 100$.
$r$ Revised figures.
$0^{\#}$ Magnitude less than half of the unit employed.

## 2. PRINCIPAL STATISTICS ON EXPORTS

|  |  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q1 to Q4 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \text { Q4 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q4 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Structure (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total exports | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 20,430.6 \\ -0.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,025.4 \\ -21.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,672.5 \\ -52.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,960.0 \\ -9.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,900.6 \\ -39.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1822.2^{\mathrm{T}} \\ -9.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1810.3^{\mathrm{r}} \\ -3.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1653.6^{\mathrm{r}} \\ -11.7^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,673.9 \\ -11.9 \end{array}$ | 100.0 |
| 1. Destination USA | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 8,291.8 \\ -8.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,399.2 \\ -22.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,308.3 \\ -79.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 782.1 \\ & -40.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 200.7 \\ & -80.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 207.6 \\ -58.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 177.1 \\ & -42.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 241.5 \\ & -18.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 155.9 \\ & -22.3 \end{aligned}$ | 9.3 |
| European Union | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 3,722.0 \\ -6.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,591.1 \\ -57.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 631.3 \\ -60.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 409.4 \\ -35.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 115.2 \\ & -63.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95.5 \\ -48.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 105.3 \\ & -46.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 105.5 \\ & -22.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 103.1 \\ & -10.5 \end{aligned}$ | 6.2 |
| Mainland China | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 3,034.1 \\ 0^{\#} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,968.2 \\ -35.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,117.5 \\ -43.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,102.4 \\ -1.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 272.9 \\ & -34.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 268.5^{\mathrm{r}} \\ -7.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 315.9^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 8.4^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 257.6 \\ -1.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 260.3 \\ -4.6 \end{array}$ | 15.6 |
| Hong Kong | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 2,674.4 \\ 16.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,162.7 \\ 18.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,014.6 \\ -4.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,002.6 \\ -0.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 859.4 \\ 4.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 836.8 \\ 24.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 803.7 \\ 14.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 620.6^{\mathrm{r}} \\ -20.2^{\mathrm{r}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 741.5 \\ & -13.7 \end{aligned}$ | 44.3 |
| Taiwan, China | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 254.9 \\ 88.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 217.6 \\ -14.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 160.7 \\ & -26.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 175.9 \\ 9.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.0 \\ 2.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54.3 \\ & 40.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57.3 \\ & 49.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.5 \\ 5.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.8 \\ -42.4 \end{array}$ | 1.7 |
| Japan | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 232.8 \\ 46.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 212.9 \\ -8.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 105.7 \\ & -50.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 109.5 \\ 3.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.8 \\ -2.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.7 \\ 6.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.6 \\ & 27.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.5 \\ 0^{\#} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.7 \\ -9.4 \end{gathered}$ | 1.8 |
| Vietnam | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 134.1 \\ 8.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 170.0 \\ 26.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 178.3 \\ 4.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 188.2 \\ 5.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48.8 \\ & 30.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.6 \\ 8.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.9 \\ & 12.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41.4 \\ -9.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54.4 \\ & 11.4 \end{aligned}$ | 3.2 |
| 2. Type of goods Garment and textile products | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 13,394.0 \\ -8.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,145.2 \\ -31.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,469.9 \\ -73.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,627.3 \\ -34.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 412.0 \\ -74.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 381.2^{\mathrm{r}} \\ -55.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 395.0^{\mathrm{r}} \\ -39.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 467.1 \\ -15.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 384.0 \\ -6.8 \end{array}$ | 22.9 |
| Garment | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 11,963.4 \\ -6.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,419.7 \\ -29.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,101.9 \\ -75.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,314.3 \\ -37.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 346.5 \\ & -76.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 328.4 \\ & -57.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 308.9^{\mathrm{r}} \\ -41.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 366.8 \\ & -20.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 310.1 \\ -10.5 \end{gathered}$ | 18.5 |
| Knitted or crocheted | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 7,700.6 \\ -5.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,974.3 \\ -35.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,282.6 \\ -74.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 755.1 \\ & -41.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 215.0 \\ -77.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 198.8 \\ & -58.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 178.3 \\ -43.3^{r} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 208.3 \\ & -24.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 169.8 \\ & -21.0 \end{aligned}$ | 10.1 |
| Not knitted or crocheted | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 4,262.7 \\ -10.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,445.5 \\ -19.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 819.3 \\ & -76.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 559.1 \\ & -31.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 131.5 \\ & -74.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 129.7 \\ & -54.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130.6 \\ & -39.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 158.5 \\ & -14.7 \end{aligned}$ |  | 8.4 |
| Textile products | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 1,430.6 \\ -20.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 725.4 \\ -49.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 368.0 \\ & -49.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 313.0 \\ -14.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65.5 \\ -53.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.8^{r} \\ -41.5^{r} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86.1^{\mathrm{r}} \\ -29.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.2 \\ 10.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73.9 \\ & 12.8 \end{aligned}$ | 4.4 |
| Machines, apparatus and parts | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 2,194.5 \\ 56.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,203.5 \\ -45.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 626.2 \\ & -48.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 449.0 \\ & -28.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 181.4 \\ & -29.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 122.3 \\ & -35.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 105.1 \\ & -29.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 107.5 \\ 2.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 114.0 \\ & -37.1 \end{aligned}$ | 6.8 |
| Jewellery | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{gathered} 388.8 \\ -12.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 679.8 \\ 74.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 753.7 \\ 10.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 736.8 \\ -2.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 195.8 \\ 31.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 294.7 \\ & 108.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 240.8 \\ 31.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74.0 \\ -68.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 127.2 \\ & -35.0 \end{aligned}$ | 7.6 |
| Others | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 4,453.3 \\ 12.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,997.0 \\ 12.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,822.8 \\ -23.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,146.9 \\ 8.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,111.4 \\ -2.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,024.0 \\ 23.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,069.3 \\ 19.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1005.0^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 2.2^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,048.6 \\ -5.6 \end{array}$ | 62.6 |
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## 3. PRINCIPAL STATISTICS ON DOMESTIC EXPORTS

|  |  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q1 to Q4 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \text { Q4 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q4 } \end{gathered}$ | Structure <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Domestic exports | MOP million | 13,515.7 | 9,582.3 | 2,971.1 | 2,389.8 | 575.7 | $562.4^{\text {r }}$ | $570.7^{\text {r }}$ | 628.8 | 627.9 | 100.0 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | -6.0 | -29.1 | -69.0 | -19.6 | -66.3 | -39.8 | -24.2 | -11.4 | 9.1 |  |
| 1. Destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| USA | MOP million | 8,203.4 | 6,334.4 | 1,265.3 | 713.7 | 192.4 | 194.6 | 163.8 | 209.3 | 146.1 | 23.3 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | -7.5 | -22.8 | -80.0 | -43.6 | -81.1 | -60.6 | -44.3 | -26.6 | -24.1 |  |
| European Union | MOP million | 3,488.1 | 1,528.6 | 609.5 | 398.4 | 106.7 | 91.4 | 102.8 | 103.1 | 101.0 | 16.1 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | -10.1 | -56.2 | -60.1 | -34.6 | -64.7 | -49.6 | -46.0 | -21.4 | -5.4 |  |
| Mainland China | MOP million | 264.7 | 222.7 | 189.6 | 251.3 | 48.3 | 50.2 | 72.0 | 62.6 | 66.5 | 10.6 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | 6.2 | -15.9 | -14.9 | 32.5 | -0.2 | 42.0 | $38.9{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 15.7 | 37.6 |  |
| Hong Kong | MOP million | 598.6 | 524.4 | 348.0 | 485.1 | 106.0 | 104.5 | 84.7 | 105.7 | 190.2 | 30.3 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | 19.5 | -12.4 | -33.6 | 39.4 | -11.6 | 41.0 | 23.4 | 6.6 | 79.4 |  |
| Taiwan, China | MOP million | 124.9 | 124.4 | 46.8 | 53.7 | 8.3 | 11.1 | 17.9 | 14.4 | 10.3 | 1.6 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | 28.0 | -0.4 | -62.4 | 14.8 | -71.2 | -14.0 | 47.3 | 7.8 | 23.7 |  |
| Japan | MOP million | 158.5 | 141.0 | 87.3 | 94.9 | 27.6 | 22.2 | 21.8 | 25.2 | 25.8 | 4.1 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | 9.6 | -11.0 | -38.1 | 8.8 | 23.3 | 36.3 | 32.3 | -6.5 | -6.5 |  |
| Vietnam | MOP million | 132.3 | 141.3 | 155.9 | 144.3 | 42.0 | 38.5 | 41.1 | 37.6 | 27.2 | 4.3 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | 11.8 | 6.8 | 10.4 | -7.4 | 20.9 | 18.1 | 3.8 | -10.1 | -35.1 |  |
| 2. Type of goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Garment | MOP million | 11,754.6 | 8,187.4 | 1,971.1 | 1,169.0 | 310.5 | 292.4 | 282.7 | 326.2 | 267.7 | 42.6 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | -6.7 | -30.3 | -75.9 | -40.7 | -77.7 | -59.7 | -44.4 | -23.7 | -13.8 |  |
| Knitted or corcheted | MOP million | 7,570.1 | 4,810.1 | 1,219.6 | 694.5 | 199.7 | 184.1 | 165.5 | 192.0 | 152.9 | 24.4 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | -4.9 | -36.5 | -74.6 | -43.1 | -77.8 | -59.6 | -45.7 | -25.8 | -23.4 |  |
| Not knitted or crocheted | MOP million | 4,184.5 | 3,377.3 | 751.4 | 474.5 | 110.8 | $108.4{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 117.2 | 134.2 | 114.8 | 18.3 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | -9.8 | -19.3 | -77.8 | -36.9 | -77.4 | -59.7 | -42.4 | -20.3 | 3.6 |  |
| Others | MOP million | 1,761.1 | 1,395.0 | 1,000.0 | 1,220.8 | 265.2 | 269.9 | 287.9 | 302.7 | 360.3 | 57.4 |
|  | y-on-y \% change | -0.7 | -20.8 | -28.3 | 22.1 | -16.2 | 29.4 | 18.0 | 7.2 | 35.8 |  |

[^2]
## 4. PRINCIPAL STATISTICS ON RE-EXPORTS

|  |  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q1 to Q4 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \text { Q4 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q4 } \end{gathered}$ | Structure <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Re-exports | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 6,914.9 \\ 13.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,443.1 \\ -6.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,701.4 \\ -27.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,570.2 \\ -2.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,324.9 \\ -7.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1259.8^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 16.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,239.6 \\ 9.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1024.8^{\mathrm{r}} \\ -11.9^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,046.0 \\ -21.1 \end{array}$ | 100.0 |
| 1. Destination Mainland China | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 2,769.4 \\ -0.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,745.5 \\ -37.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 927.9 \\ -46.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 851.1 \\ -8.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 224.6 \\ -38.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 218.3^{\mathrm{r}} \\ -14.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 243.9^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 1.9^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 195.0 \\ -6.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 193.9 \\ & -13.7 \end{aligned}$ | 18.5 |
| Hong Kong | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 2,075.9 \\ 15.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,638.3 \\ 27.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,666.7 \\ 1.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,517.5 \\ -5.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 753.4 \\ 7.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 732.3 \\ 22.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 719.0 \\ 13.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 514.9^{\mathrm{r}} \\ -24.1^{\mathrm{r}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 551.3 \\ & -26.8 \end{aligned}$ | 52.7 |
| USA | MOP million <br> $y$-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 88.3 \\ -41.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64.7 \\ -26.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42.9 \\ -33.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68.3 \\ 59.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.3 \\ -55.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.9 \\ & 29.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.3 \\ & -2.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32.2 \\ 194.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.8 \\ 18.8 \end{array}$ | 0.9 |
| 2. Type of goods Consumer goods | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 1,110.2 \\ -9.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,801.4 \\ 62.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,000.2 \\ 11.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,070.5 \\ 3.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 596.8 \\ 28.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 611.7 \\ 47.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 645.2 \\ 51.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 390.3^{r} \\ -30.7^{r} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 423.2 \\ -29.1 \end{gathered}$ | 40.5 |
| Foodstuffs and beverages | MOP million <br> $y$-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 86.3 \\ -13.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 180.9 \\ & 109.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121.4 \\ & -32.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 244.3 \\ & 101.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.2 \\ -12.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.9 \\ & 46.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.8 \\ & 37.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.6 \\ & 76.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 111.1 \\ & 215.1 \end{aligned}$ | 10.6 |
| Raw materials and semi-manufactures | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 2,679.1 \\ -4.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,449.3 \\ -45.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 823.0 \\ -43.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 808.1 \\ -1.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 188.7 \\ & -34.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 172.5^{\mathrm{r}} \\ -14.2^{\mathrm{r}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 221.4 \\ -7.4^{r} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 219.3 \\ 13.0 \end{array}$ |  | 18.6 |
| Textile materials | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 1,413.0 \\ -21.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 701.4 \\ -50.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 337.5 \\ -51.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 280.5 \\ -16.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54.3 \\ -59.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46.9^{\mathrm{r}} \\ -46.0^{\mathrm{r}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77.5 \\ -32.7^{r} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90.0 \\ & 11.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66.1 \\ & 21.6 \end{aligned}$ | 6.3 |

## 5. STRUCTURE OF EXPORTS BY MARKET AND TYPE OF GOODS



[^3]|  |  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q1 to Q4 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \text { Q4 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2010 \\ \text { Q1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2010 \\ \text { Q2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2010 \\ \text { Q3 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2010 \\ \text { Q4 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Structure (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total imports | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 43,113.9 \\ 18.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43,034.2 \\ -0.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36,902.0 \\ -14.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44,118.4 \\ 19.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,260.7 \\ 0^{\#} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9830.5^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 18.8^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10515.0^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 21.3^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11415.7^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 17.7^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,357.1 \\ 20.4 \end{array}$ | 100.0 |
| 1. Origin <br> Mainland China | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 18,378.4 \\ 11.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,926.2 \\ -7.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,571.1 \\ -31.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,718.3 \\ 18.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,116.6 \\ -11.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2985.0^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 19.6^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3295.8^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 20.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3622.1^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 12.5^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,815.3 \\ 22.4 \end{array}$ | 30.9 |
| Hong Kong | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 4,358.6 \\ 17.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,365.8 \\ 0.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,036.4 \\ -7.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,627.9 \\ 14.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,161.7 \\ 3.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,087.7 \\ 21.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1043.7^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 6.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,183.9 \\ 19.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,312.7 \\ 13.0 \end{array}$ | 10.6 |
| Taiwan, China | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 1,499.5 \\ 27.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,424.2 \\ -5.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,120.9 \\ -21.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,078.3 \\ -3.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 258.5 \\ -22.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 256.9 \\ -6.9^{r} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 310.4 \\ 6.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 263.5^{\mathrm{r}} \\ -11.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 247.6 \\ -4.2 \end{array}$ | 2.0 |
| Japan | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 3,874.8 \\ 27.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,639.1 \\ -6.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,039.3 \\ -16.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,812.1 \\ 25.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 940.8 \\ 12.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 871.6 \\ 38.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 985.2^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 49.7^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 983.6 \\ 21.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 971.7 \\ 3.3 \end{array}$ | 7.9 |
| European Union | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 6,762.3 \\ 41.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,101.0 \\ 5.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,814.9 \\ 10.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,960.7 \\ 27.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,280.8 \\ 23.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2179.0^{r} \\ 26.0^{r} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2248.4^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 23.5^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2538.2^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 27.9^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,995.1 \\ 31.3 \end{array}$ | 24.2 |
| USA | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 2,429.0 \\ 21.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,383.3 \\ -1.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,217.1 \\ -7.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,619.0 \\ 18.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 534.2 \\ & -27.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 611.6 \\ -6.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 567.3 \\ 26.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 691.9 \\ 19.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 748.2 \\ 40.1 \end{array}$ | 6.1 |
| 2. Type of goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer goods | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 17,492.8 \\ 23.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,772.9 \\ 13.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,788.7 \\ 0.1 \end{array}$ | 26,244.7 $32.6$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,903.7 \\ 17.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5915.2^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 39.8^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6195.7^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 38.6^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6700.8^{r} \\ 29.2^{r} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,433.0 \\ 25.9 \end{array}$ | 60.2 |
| Foodstuffs and beverages | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 3,912.3 \\ 26.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,373.1 \\ 11.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,104.1 \\ 16.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,416.9 \\ 25.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,398.5 \\ 13.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,480.6 \\ 30.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,501.5 \\ 24.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1594.5^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 16.7^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,840.3 \\ 31.6 \end{array}$ | 14.9 |
| Motor cars \& motorcycles | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 1,466.6 \\ 31.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,477.2 \\ 0.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,145.5 \\ -22.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,936.6 \\ 69.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 414.1 \\ 16.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 411.2 \\ & 112.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 520.4 \\ & 131.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 486.7^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 55.6^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 518.3 \\ 25.2 \end{array}$ | 4.2 |
| Other consumer goods | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 12,113.9 \\ 21.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,922.7 \\ 14.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,539.1 \\ -2.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,891.2 \\ 32.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,091.1 \\ 18.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4023.4^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 38.6^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4173.8^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 37.3^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4619.7^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 31.8^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,074.4 \\ 24.0 \end{array}$ | 41.1 |
| Clothing and footwear | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 4,297.0 \\ 9.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,789.4 \\ -11.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,095.2 \\ -44.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,681.6 \\ 28.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 594.6 \\ & -21.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 608.8^{r} \\ 10.0^{r} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 552.6^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 28.4^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 737.8^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 42.9^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 782.4 \\ 31.6 \end{array}$ | 6.3 |
| Raw materials and semi-manufactures | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 11,441.4 \\ -4.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,270.5 \\ -19.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,745.7 \\ -38.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,661.6 \\ -1.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,536.4 \\ -28.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1273.5^{\mathrm{r}} \\ -7.4^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1462.7^{\mathrm{r}} \\ 4.1^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1427.2^{\mathrm{r}} \\ -0.1^{\mathrm{r}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,498.2 \\ -2.5 \end{array}$ | 12.1 |
| Textile materials | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 3,662.8 \\ -23.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,300.4 \\ -37.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 967.0 \\ -58.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 786.2 \\ & -18.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200.3 \\ -55.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 139.3 \\ & -41.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 217.5 \\ -28.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 232.6 \\ 4.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 196.8 \\ -1.8 \end{array}$ | 1.6 |
| Construction materials | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 2,404.4 \\ 10.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,117.6 \\ -11.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 726.8 \\ & -65.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 700.4 \\ -3.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 203.6 \\ -39.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90.9 \\ -54.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 224.2 \\ 38.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 185.0 \\ 15.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200.4 \\ -1.6 \end{array}$ | 1.6 |
| Fuels and lubricants | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 4,895.7 \\ 22.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,689.1 \\ 16.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,721.6 \\ -17.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,263.2 \\ 11.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,214.9 \\ -2.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,215.1 \\ 23.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,329.9 \\ 20.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,417.1 \\ 0^{\#} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,301.1 \\ 7.1 \end{array}$ | 10.5 |
| Capital goods | MOP million y-on-y \% change | $\begin{array}{r} 9,284.0 \\ 46.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,301.7 \\ -10.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,645.9 \\ -19.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,948.9 \\ 4.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,605.7 \\ -13.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,426.8 \\ -15.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,526.7 \\ -9.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,870.6 \\ 11.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,124.7 \\ 32.3 \end{array}$ | 17.2 |

[^4]7. EXPORT ORDERS ON HAND AND EXPORT PERFORMANCE OUTLOOK OF SELECTED MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES

|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q1 to Q4 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \text { Q4 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Q4 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Selected industries (months) | 3.3 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 3.2 |
| Garments | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 3.5 |
| Toys | 4.1 | 4.8 | ~ | $\sim$ | ~ | ~ | $\sim$ | ~ | ~ |
| Electronics | 0.4 | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ |
| Footwear | 2.6 | 1.2 | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | ~ | ~ | $\sim$ | ~ | ~ |
| 2. Markets (composite index) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| USA | 41.4 | 32.1 | 20.6 | 29.8 | 10.4 | 26.0 | 31.3 | $30.4{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 31.4 |
| European Union | 28.7 | 25.7 | 16.3 | 1.8 | -12.7 | 5.7 | 2.1 | -7.0 | 6.2 |
| Mainland China | 0.2 | 0.1 | $11.1{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 9.0 | 22.9 | 10.0 | 0.6 | 15.3 | 10.0 |
| Hong Kong | - | 0.3 | -1.6 | 1.7 | -0.3 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 3.6 |
| Japan | 2.3 | 4.2 | 25.6 | 1.8 | 29.2 | 0.7 | -0.7 | 3.7 | 3.6 |

a The indices represent the average differences in percentage of the interviewed companies with positive response and those with negative response.
$b$ Average for the third and fourth quarters of 2009.
$r$ Revised figures.
~ No figure provided.

- Absolute value equals zero

Source : Macao Economic Services
8. EXPORT PERFORMANCE OUTLOOK FOR THE NEXT 6 MONTHS OF SELECTED MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES ${ }^{\text {a }}$
\%

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
| Interviewed Industries |  |  |  |  |  |
| Substantial increase | 1.6 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 6.5 | 5.2 |
| Slight increase | 35.1 | 34.0 | 33.3 | 36.0 | 33.0 |
| Unchanged | 42.4 | 38.7 | 36.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| Slight decrease | 7.7 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 5.1 | 10.6 |
| Substantial decrease | 13.2 | 17.3 | 19.1 | 16.2 | 15.8 |
| of which: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Garments |  |  |  |  |  |
| Substantial increase | 1.3 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 9.8 | 4.8 |
| Slight increase | 16.9 | 28.7 | 15.1 | 15.9 | 19.2 |
| Unchanged | 26.8 | 32.4 | 41.8 | 43.5 | 36.6 |
| Slight decrease | 24.0 | 9.0 | 9.9 | 6.3 | 15.6 |
| Substantial decrease | 31.0 | 25.3 | 27.1 | 24.5 | 23.8 |
| Toys |  |  |  |  |  |
| Substantial increase | $\sim$ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ |
| Slight increase | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | ~ |
| Unchanged | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| Slight decrease | ~ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| Substantial decrease | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| Footwear |  |  |  |  |  |
| Substantial increase | ~ | ~ | $\sim$ | ~ | $\sim$ |
| Slight increase | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | ~ | $\sim$ |
| Unchanged | $\sim$ | ~ | $\sim$ | ~ | ~ |
| Slight decrease | ~ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| Substantial decrease | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |

a By classification of export industries.
~ No figure provided.
Source : Macao Economic Services


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Unless otherwise specified, the rates of change refer to year-on-year change in nominal terms, i.e. comparison of the fourth quarter of 2010 with the same quarter of 2009.

[^1]:    $r$ Revised figures.
    $0^{\#}$ Magnitude less than half of the unit employed.

[^2]:    $r$ Revised figures.

[^3]:    $r$ Revised figures.
    $0^{\#}$ Magnitude less than half of the unit employed.

[^4]:    $r$ Revised figures.
    $0^{*}$ Magnitude less than half of the unit employed.

